

TABLE 1.1-2
AREAS OF PIPELINE LOOPING AND CO-LOCATION FOR THE PIPELINE FACILITIES

Facility ID	Co-Location Type	Owner/Operator	Milepost ¹		Length (miles)	Township	County	Width of Existing ROW (ft) ³	Width of Existing ROW To Be Used During Construction (ft) ⁴	Width of Existing ROW To Be Used During Operation (ft) ⁵
			Begin	End						
Peabody Lateral	Powerline	New England Power	0.12	0.20	0.08	Lynnfield	Essex	TBD	15	0
	Pipeline	TGP	0.25	0.45	0.20	Lynnfield	Essex	30 - 50	40	25
	Powerline	New England Power	3.43	4.47	1.04	Peabody	Essex	TBD	15	0
	Powerline/Pipeline	New England Power/ TGP	4.47	4.90	0.43	Peabody, Danvers	Essex	TBD / 30 - 50	15 / 40	0 / 25
	Powerline	New England Power	4.90	5.29	0.39	Danvers	Essex	TBD	TBD	0
Concord Delivery Line	Powerline	Massachusetts Electric	0.00	0.39	0.39	Dracut	Middlesex	TBD	15	0
Maritimes Delivery Line	Powerline	Massachusetts Electric	0.00	0.10	0.10	Dracut	Middlesex	TBD	15	0
	Powerline	Massachusetts Electric	1.05	1.21	0.16	Dracut	Middlesex	TBD	15	0
Massachusetts Miles of Looping/Co-Location Subtotal					77.43					
Connecticut										
Stamford Loop	Pipeline	TGP	0.00	1.51	1.51	Stamford	Fairfield	30	25	25
300 Line CT Loop	Pipeline	TGP	0.00	0.06	0.06	East Granby	Hartford	30	30	25
	Pipeline	TGP	0.42	2.75	2.33	East Granby, Windsor	Hartford	30	30	25
	Pipeline	TGP	3.68	4.53	0.85	Bloomfield	Hartford	30	30	25
	Pipeline	TGP	5.02	9.31	4.29	Bloomfield	Hartford	30	30	25
	Powerline	Connecticut Light & Power Company	9.31	10.40	1.09	Bloomfield	Hartford	TBD	15	0
	Powerline/Pipeline	Connecticut Light & Power Company/TGP	10.40	14.57	4.17	Bloomfield, West Hartford, Farmington	Hartford	30	30	25
Connecticut Miles of Looping/Co-Location Subtotal					14.30					
New Hampshire										
Wright to Dracut Pipeline Segment (New Hampshire Portion)	Powerline	Public Service of New Hampshire	114.41	115.29	0.88	Winchester	Cheshire	TBD	15	0
	Powerline	Public Service of New Hampshire	120.30	158.52	38.22	Richmond, Troy, Fitzwilliam, Rindge, New Ipswich, Greenville, Mason, Milford, Brookline	Cheshire, Hillsborough	TBD	15	0

TABLE 1.1-2
AREAS OF PIPELINE LOOPING AND CO-LOCATION FOR THE PIPELINE FACILITIES

Facility ID	Co-Location Type	Owner/Operator	Milepost ¹		Length (miles)	Township	County	Width of Existing ROW (ft) ³	Width of Existing ROW To Be Used During Construction (ft) ⁴	Width of Existing ROW To Be Used During Operation (ft) ⁵
			Begin	End						
Wright to Dracut Pipeline Segment (New Hampshire Portion)	Powerline	Public Service of New Hampshire	158.78	162.25	3.47	Brookline, Milford, Amherst	Hillsborough	TBD	15	0
	Powerline	Public Service of New Hampshire	162.71	163.70	0.99	Amherst	Hillsborough	TBD	15	0
	Powerline	Public Service of New Hampshire	164.97	168.81	3.84	Amherst, Merrimack	Hillsborough	TBD	15	0
	Powerline	Public Service of New Hampshire	169.87	172.69	2.82	Litchfield, Londonderry	Hillsborough, Rockingham	TBD	15	0
	Powerline	Public Service of New Hampshire	173.21	179.72	6.51	Londonderry, Hudson, Windham, Pelham	Rockingham, Hillsborough	TBD	15	0
	Powerline	Public Service of New Hampshire	180.28	185.01	4.73	Pelham	Hillsborough	TBD	15	0
Haverhill Lateral	Pipeline	TGP	5.41	7.43	2.01	Salem	Rockingham	30 - 50	40	25
New Hampshire Miles of Looping/Co-Location Subtotal					63.47					
Total Project Miles of Looping/Co-Location Total					316.73					
% of Total Project Looping/Co-Location (429.81 miles)					74%					

¹ Milepost designations are derived individually based on the start and end points of each current proposed pipeline facility.

² Based on agreements to be negotiated with individual landowners, Tennessee proposes to be adjacent to or overlap with ROW for the Constitution Pipeline Project. The location of the Constitution pipeline route is based upon the proposed route for that project as of February 2014 (as contained within the Constitution DEIS issued by the Commission in February 2014). As noted above, the Commission, on October 24, 2014, issued the Constitution FEIS. At the time the Constitution FEIS was issued by the Commission, Tennessee was in the process of finalizing the drafts of Resource Reports 1 and 10 for filing with the Commission on November 5, 2014 and had not had an opportunity to finalize its review of the Constitution FEIS and incorporate any revisions to its proposed route based on that review. On December 2, 2014, the Commission issued an Order Issuing Certificates and Approving Abandonment, *Constitution Pipeline Company, LLC*, 149 FERC ¶ 61,199 (2014), for the Constitution Pipeline Project, which adopted the recommendations from the Constitution FEIS. Tennessee will determine if any revisions to its proposed route are necessary after its review of the Constitution certificate order and Constitution FEIS and incorporate any such revisions in subsequent filings of the ER.

³ TBD-To be Determined. Tennessee is in process of determining the widths of existing ROWs.

⁴ Existing ROW widths anticipated to be used during construction of the Project facilities (these widths may vary as Tennessee obtains additional information about the use of existing ROWs for construction of the Project, and will be adjusted in a revised Resource Report 1 to be submitted in a subsequent filing of the ER):

Constitution: 20-50 ft
Powerlines: 15-50 ft
Existing TGP: 25-50 ft
TBD-To be determined

⁵ Existing ROW widths anticipated to be used for operations for the Project facilities (these widths may vary as Tennessee obtains additional information about the use of existing ROWs during operation of the Project facilities, and will be adjusted in a revised Resource Report 1 to be submitted in a subsequent filing of the ER).

Constitution: 0 ft
Powerlines: 0 ft
Existing TGP: 25 ft.